BUSI70258 Healthcare and Medical Analytics (BUSI70258-SU-24/25-MODES80-1)
Laure De Preux
No. of responses = 19 No. of students 41
Response rate = 46.34%
Programme = BA

IMPERIAL BUSINESS SCHOOL

Overall indicators

Global Index

av.=4,62
dev.=0,41

2. Module Content

av.=4,37
dev.=0,59

3. Instructor

av.=4,88
dev.=0,23

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. Attendance

1.1) How often I attended class.



2. Module Content

2.1) The module has clear objectives.



n=18
av.=4,28
md=4
dev.=0,57

2.2) The order of the module contents made sense,



n=18
av.=4,28
md=4
dev.=0,75

2.3) The quality of the module materials (slides, readings, exercises, case studies etc.) for this module were good.



n=18
av.=4,39
md=4
dev.=0,61

2.4) The module components (e.g., sessions, tutorial sessions, coursework, etc.) enhanced my understanding of the topic.



n=18
av.=4,56
md=5
dev.=0,51

2.5) Overall, the module was effective in delivering its learning objectives.



n=18
av.=4,33
md=4
dev.=0,49

3. Instructor

3.1) The instructor was knowledgeable about the module topics.



n=19
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

3.2) The instructor was enthusiastic about the module topics.



n=19
av.=4,95
md=5
dev.=0,23

3.3) The instructor was responsive to student questions.



n=18
av.=5
md=5
dev.=0

3.4) The instructor helped me to understand the module content clearly.



n=19
av.=4,74
md=5
dev.=0,45

3.5) Overall, the instructor was effective.



n=19
av.=4,74
md=5
dev.=0,45

Profile

Subunit: Imperial Business School 2024/25
Name of the instructor: Laure De Preux
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) BUSI70258 Healthcare and Medical Analytics

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Module Content



2.1)	The module has clear objectives.	n=18	av.=4,28	md=4	dev.=0,57
2.2)	The order of the module contents made sense,	n=18	av.=4,28	md=4	dev.=0,75
2.3)	The quality of the module materials (slides, readings, exercises, case studies etc.) for this module were good.	n=18	av.=4,39	md=4	dev.=0,61
2.4)	The module components (e.g., sessions, tutorial sessions, coursework, etc.) enhanced my understanding of the topic.	n=18	av.=4,56	md=5	dev.=0,51
2.5)	Overall, the module was effective in delivering its learning objectives.	n=18	av.=4,33	md=4	dev.=0,49

3. Instructor



3.1)	The instructor was knowledgeable about the module topics.	n=19	av.=5	md=5	dev.=0
3.2)	The instructor was enthusiastic about the module topics.	n=19	av.=4,95	md=5	dev.=0,23
3.3)	The instructor was responsive to student questions.	n=18	av.=5	md=5	dev.=0
3.4)	The instructor helped me to understand the module content clearly.	n=19	av.=4,74	md=5	dev.=0,45
3.5)	Overall, the instructor was effective.	n=19	av.=4,74	md=5	dev.=0,45

Comments Report

4. Reason for selecting "Strongly disagree"

4.1) Please could you provide some details on why you selected "Strongly disagree" for any of your responses to the Module Content or Instructor questions above.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

5. Learning Environment and Support

5.1) Please comment on the learning environment that impacted your experience in this module (for example: lecture theatre equipment, the Hub, rooms and facilities, support staff, etc.)

- All good.
- Great as usual (lecture equipment, rooms and facilities)
- i think more material would have been useful
- It was up to the mark
- really nice rooms

6. Overall Feedback (Your responses are very valuable to instructors as these often highlight areas of excellence as well as of improvement).

6.1) What did you like most about this module?

- Apply analytical skills on a health related project of our choice. Conduct analysis from scratch.
- discussion enviromenz
- How responsive and helpful the lecturer was.
- I liked how the assignments are challenging in changing the way we think about healthcare and how things go beyond simple regression and we have to control properly to determine causal relationships.
- I like that it was really applied to real life context
- Passion of the lecturer
- The professor is super nice and helpful, always listens to feedback and takes it into consideration. I also liked the individual assignment and the possibility to explore the topic of interest.
- The professor showed impressive knowledge across all the subjects she covered. I also really appreciated her flexibility, she essentially tailored her lectures to align with our interests.
- The ways we can analyse the healthcare data, the industry level insights behind every key decision

6.2) What would you most like to improve in this module?

- Extend the deadline of submissions
- having more causal inference topics
- I enjoyed the assignments and lectures, not much to say in terms of improvements.
- In class practical case study. More written explanation on the slides.
- Maybe add a bit of pharma insights as in how business analysts would work in the a healthcare company.. skills they would need, etc
- more concrete things, 3 assignments instead of 2.
- Not cover topics in ML and NLP - we get that more in depth in other modules. Instead, focus on what you specialize in.
- Sometimes the lectures felt like office hours. I understand that for some students it is perfect as they struggled with the assignments, but personally I would have been much happier hearing and learning more about healthcare sector itself.