

BUSI70258 Healthcare and Medical Analytics  
(BUSI70258-SU-23/24-MODES32)  
No. of responses = 8 No. of students 26.  
Laure de Preux




Response rate = 30.77%  
Program of Study = BA

## Overall indicators

### Global Index

#### 2. Module Content


#### 3. Instructor



## Survey Results


### Legend

#### Question text



## 1. Attendance

### 1.1) How often I attended class.





### 1.2) Could you please indicate how many of those classes you attended online:




## 2. Module Content

### 2.1) The module has clear objectives.





### 3. Instructor



# Profile

Subunit: Imperial College Business School 2023/24  
 Name of the instructor: Laure de Preux  
 Name of the course: BUSI70258 Healthcare and Medical Analytics  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. Module Content

2.1) The module has clear objectives.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,25	md=4	dev.=0,46
2.2) The order of the module contents made sense.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,13	md=4,5	dev.=1,36
2.3) The quality of the module materials (slides, readings, exercises, case studies etc.) for this module were good.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,75	md=5	dev.=0,46
2.4) The module components (e.g., sessions, tutorial sessions, coursework, etc.) enhanced my understanding of the topic.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,38	md=4	dev.=0,52
2.5) Overall, the module was effective in delivering its learning objectives.	Strongly Disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,5	md=4,5	dev.=0,53

## 3. Instructor

3.1) The instructor was knowledgeable about the module topics.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,88	md=5	dev.=0,35
3.2) The instructor was enthusiastic about the module topics.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,75	md=5	dev.=0,46
3.3) The instructor was responsive to student questions.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,75	md=5	dev.=0,46
3.4) The instructor helped me to understand the module content clearly.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,63	md=5	dev.=0,52
3.5) Overall, the instructor was effective.	Strongly disagree					Strongly agree	n=8	av.=4,5	md=4,5	dev.=0,53

# Comments Report

## 4. Learning Environment and Support

- 4.1) Please comment on the learning environment that impacted your experience in this module (for example, the Hub, video conferencing technology and discussion platforms if you are a student attending online. For students attending in person please also consider the lecture theatre equipment, rooms and facilities).
- It happened multiple times that the fire alarm was triggered in lecture room ACEx 455 because the doors opened (it was not possible to close them). It was a very negative experience and I don't understand why this issue has not been fixed (the same issue occurred in previous modules in the same lecture room).
  - The alarm in ACEx 455 keep sounding (at least 3 sessions) and it disrupted the class learning.
  - there are many lectures that are not recorded correctly (slides does not appear in the recording)
  - Think everything was great
  - videos and ML tutorials

## 5. Overall Feedback (Your responses are very valuable to instructors as these often highlight areas of excellence as well as of improvement).

- 5.1) What did you like most about this module?
- Good introductory session for someone new to healthcare industry.
  - I like that the instructor emphasized on important analysis that needs to be done for the assignments and shows lots of examples that are related
  - Professor
  - The professor is very patient, and she is very open to students' opinions.
  - The professor was highly competent, enthusiastic and supportive. I appreciated that she took a lot of time for questions and feedback.
- 5.2) What would you most like to improve in this module?
- feedback from previous classes on what has already been done, there was a lot of repeated content from previous contents.
  - Maybe a little bit heads up about the group assignment. Mostly, the Individual assignment is the one that has been discussed in class.
  - Maybe show an example on how to handling sampling weights in regression, in both cross-sectional and longitudinal manners.
  - nothing
  - Nothing all good
  - The two assignments felt very similar to each other and somewhat disconnected from the module content. One of the two research papers could have been replaced by quizzes or a different type of assessment. Further, I would have preferred the deadline of the group assignment not to be 3 weeks after the end of lectures but much earlier. It would have been nice to finish all coursework a few days after the last lecture and not almost a month later during my internship.
- Content-wise it would have been nice to learn more about the role of climate change in the context of healthcare (especially since this is a key area of research from the professor).