

BUSI96041 Health Economics (Laure de Preux)  
 (BUSI96041LP-SP-21/22-MODES21)  
 No. of responses = 3 No. of students 76.  
 Laure de Preux




Response rate = 3.95%  
 Program of Study = IBSc

Overall indicators

Global Index

2. Module Content


3. Instructor



Survey Results


Legend

Question text




1. Attendance

1.1) How often I attended class.




1.2) Could you please indicate how you attended the majority of those classes (whether in person or online).





2. Module Content

2.1) The module has clear objectives.




2.2) The order of the module contents made sense.





3. Instructor



# Profile

Subunit: Imperial College Business School 2021/22  
 Name of the instructor: Laure de Preux  
 Name of the course: BUSI96041 Health Economics (Laure de Preux)  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. Module Content

2.1) The module has clear objectives.	Strongly Disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58
2.2) The order of the module contents made sense.	Strongly Disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58
2.3) The quality of the module materials (slides, readings, exercises, case studies etc.) for this module were good.	Strongly Disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=5,00	md=5,00	dev.=0,00
2.4) The module components (e.g., sessions, tutorial sessions, coursework, etc.) enhanced my understanding of the topic.	Strongly Disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58
2.5) Overall, the module was effective in delivering its learning objectives.	Strongly Disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58

## 3. Instructor

3.1) The instructor was knowledgeable about the module topics.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58
3.2) The instructor was enthusiastic about the module topics.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58
3.3) The instructor was responsive to student questions.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58
3.4) The instructor helped me to understand the module content clearly.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58
3.5) Overall, the instructor was effective.	Strongly disagree								Strongly agree	n=3	av.=4,67	md=5,00	dev.=0,58

## Comments Report

---

### 4. Learning Environment and Support

4.1) Please comment on the learning environment that impacted your experience in this module (for example, the Hub, video conferencing technology and discussion platforms if you are a student attending online. For students attending in person please also consider the lecture theatre equipment, rooms and facilities).

- Laure's sessions were online - this was fine.

### 5. Overall Feedback (Your responses are very valuable to instructors as these often highlight areas of excellence as well as of improvement).

5.1) What did you like most about this module?

- Really enjoyed the lectures and found them very interesting. Thank you for making the effort for the evening tutorials
- Was very well taught and explained. Answered queries very well. Taught in a very logical manner.

5.2) What would you most like to improve in this module?

- -
- Shame it was online, and could not have more.